COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS JULY 6, 2004 CLARK COUNTY, WASHINGTON

The Board convened in the Commissioners' Hearing Room, 6th Floor, Public Service Center, 1300 Franklin Street, Vancouver, Washington. Commissioners Stanton, Pridemore, and Morris, Chair, present.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Commissioners conducted the Flag Salute.

PUBLIC COMMENT

There was no public comment.

CONSENT AGENDA

There being no public comment, **MOVED** by Stanton to approve items 1 through 18. Commissioners Morris, Stanton, and Pridemore voted aye. Motion carried. (See Tape 109)

HOME BUSINESS FEES

Continued from June 15, 2004.

[Please note: this was typed verbatim with the exception of any "uh, um" type terms and when the same word is repeated several times as in a false start sentence.]

COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Next we have an ordinance which establishes fees for home occupation applications.

MICHAEL BUTTS: Good morning, commissioners. My name is Michael Butts with Community Development. You asked last time to take a look at some of the estimated fees to implement the ordinance and as you'll notice, I tried to keep them as minimal as possible. I think the Type I is basically a come in registration – "I will agree with the above conditions," and that is what we have used in the past and it worked quite well. So there's a minimal fee of \$90 there. There's a more difficult issue that it raises in terms of the private road. As you know in a Type I if they come in, they have an agreement. I've actually put together an agreement that they can have signed by the neighbors and make it as simple as possible. So it's pretty straightforward. If, however, there's a need for some review of a private road agreement, we're going to have to

COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS

JULY 6, 2004

CLARK COUNTY, WASHINGTON

go out there and visit the site; probably have Engineering involved as well in terms of traffic

safety. So there will probably be an initial visit and see what's required and also a follow-up

visit. So that's why you have the higher fee when it comes to the private road.

The Type II without the private road...basically all we're really adding there is the cost of the

notice and staff report time.

The Rural I -- you find that it's kind of the basic review, but if you have a private road you have

a cost. If you're going to have an activity area that needs to be screened, that will probably

require an initial site visit and a post (inaudible) visit as well to make sure that all the screening

has been in place. So what I've done is basically put together a fee structure that kind of

mirrors, as much as possible, the ordinance that you have and it's kind of an additive. I know

it's a little more complicated this way, but it in fact makes it pretty cheap for those folks who

aren't going to do much and I think that was the intent of the board. I'd be glad to answer any

questions you have.

MORRIS: So they are additive if you come in for a Rural Type II and you need neither a

negotiated private – and don't have private road access or you don't need assistance in

negotiating a private road access agreement, and you don't screen your activity area, then your

entire fee is just the \$564?

BUTTS: That's correct.

MORRIS: Okay. Other questions?

STANTON: No. I like the approach a lot.

2

COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS

JULY 6, 2004

CLARK COUNTY, WASHINGTON

MORRIS: Questions? Is there anyone in the audience who would like to testify this morning on

this proposed fee structure? Anyone who would like to testify? Well, [laughs] seeing a roomful

of no hands up we'll return it to the board for –

STANTON: When did this information go out? When was it available for the public?

GORDY EULER: All too recently I must confess. We were working on this mostly last week

and I, for example, didn't even get a copy to Mr. Lewis until this morning.

MORRIS: Uh-oh.

STANTON: Ooh.

EULER: So it's taken us awhile to get the information put together.

MORRIS: Well, that doesn't seem like its quite adequate public notice. Thank you for asking

that question.

RICH LOWRY: Rich Lowry. Staff had asked whether additional notice was required of these

fees. My opinion was that since in all respects for each of the fees, the fees are going down from

what they would otherwise by typed as under the code, that the notice was legally sufficient.

STANTON: Yeah. It was more of a matter of interest and I saw Mr. Lewis stick his thumb up

so that's sort of an indication that he's not going to come up and appeal even the timing of when

these were released.

PRIDEMORE: Do you frequently have people who sit in the audience and signal to you?

3

COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS JULY 6, 2004 CLARK COUNTY WASHINGTON

CLARK COUNTY, WASHINGTON
[Laughter]
STANTON: When I'm looking at themreally questioning them, yeah.
MORRIS: I'm going to have to try that. How do you give that look? What do you look like when you do that?
STANTON: I don't know.
[Laughter]
PRIDEMORE: Madam Chair, I move approval of ordinance 2004-07-02.
STANTON: Second.
MORRIS: Moved and seconded to approve ordinance number 2004-07-02. All those in favor say aye.
PRIDEMORE: Aye.
STANTON: Aye.

EULER: You're welcome.

MORRIS: Aye. Motion carries.

STANTON: Thanks for the work on that.

COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS JULY 6, 2004 CLARK COUNTY, WASHINGTON

The board adjourned and reconvened as the Board of Health.

PUBLIC COMMENT

There was no public comment.

CONSENT AGENDA

There being no public comment, **MOVED** by Stanton to approve consent agenda item 1. Board Members Morris, Stanton, and Pridemore voted aye. Motion carried. (See tape 109)

Adjourned

2 p.m. Bid Openings

Present at the Bid Opening: Louise Richards, Clerk to the Board; Mike Westerman and Allyson Anderson, General Services

BID OPENING 2374

Held a public hearing for Bid Opening 2374 – Annual Cement Concrete Sidewalk, Ramp, Driveway Entrance, Curb & Gutter. Mike Westerman, General Services, stated that Clark County had received no bids for Bid 2374. He explained that they would have to take a look at where they can make changes to the bid, resubmitting it at a future date. (See Tape 109)

BID OPENING 2376

Held a public hearing for Bid Opening 2376 – Coated Chain Link Fence Salmon Creek WWTP. Mike Westerman, General Services, opened and read a single bid and stated that it was their intention to award Bid 2376 on July 13, 2004, at 10:00 a.m., in the Commissioners' hearing room of the Clark County Public Service Center, 6th Floor. (See Tape 109)

BID OPENING 2377

Held a public hearing for Bid Opening 2377 – De-Construction/Demolition of 520 NW 179th Street. Mike Westerman, General Services, opened and read a single bid and stated that it was their intention to award Bid 2377 on July 13, 2004, at 10:00 a.m., in the Commissioners' hearing room of the Clark County Public Service Center, 6th Floor. (See Tape 109)

COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS JULY 6, 2004 CLARK COUNTY, WASHINGTON

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Betty Sue Morris, Chair	
Judie Stanton/s/	
Judie Stanton, Commissioner	

Craig A. Pridemore/s/ Craig A. Pridemore, Commissioner

ATTEST:

Louise Richards/s/ Clerk of the Board

rt